Application No. 10/630,166

Response dated 1/9/2007 Office Action dated November 9, 2006 Attorney Docket No. 500521,00049

Page 6

REMARKS

The Office Action of November 9, 2006 indicates Examiner's position that Applicants

have failed to comply with a suitable election of subject matter in response to the restriction requirement. Specifically, Examiner stated the belief that Applicants had not clearly enumerated

the components of the claimed solution. By the present claim amendments, Applicants

respectfully request Examiner to acknowledge that the components of the claimed solution have

been clearly enumerated, and Applicants respectfully submit that the pending claims are in

condition for examination and subsequent allowance.

The claim amendments are intended to resolve the restriction requirement expressed by

Examiner. No new matter has been introduced into the application, as the claim amendments include subject matter disclosed in the specification and that which was included in the original

claims of the application. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the

application and examination of the pending claims. The Examiner is invited to contact the

undersigned should it be deemed potentially helpful to facilitate prosecution of the application.

No. 19-0733.

Respectfully submitted,

Please charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment of fees to Deposit Account

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: January 9, 2007

1165708

Bradley F. Rademake

Reg. No. 35,331